

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,086	06/25/2003	Edward J. Nowak	BUR920030005US1	1085
21918	7590 12/09/2004		EXAM	INER
DOWNS RACHLIN MARTIN PLLC			OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
199 MAIN ST P O BOX 190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, VT 05402-0190		2811	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XIV.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,086	NOWAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Douglas W Owens	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relicion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at the statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-31 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	thdrawn from consideration.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	* ' '	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the control of the c	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in Ape priority documents have been received in Ape priority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 18, 19, 23, 24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 19, 23, and 28 require the second spacer have a "length extending along said gate *substantially equal to said width of said source portion*" (emphasis added). There is no disclosure of this embodiment in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17, 20 22, 25 27, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,413,802 to Hu et al. in view of admitted prior art.

Regarding claims 17, 22 and 27, Hu et al. teach a finFET (Fig. 2F, for example), comprising:

a fin (14) having a source, drain and channel portion extending between the source and drain portions (Col. 4, lines 4 – 44), said fin having a base portion attached to a substrate; and

a first spacer (24) formed adjacent the base portion.

Hu et al. do not teach an integrated circuit comprised of a plurality of finFeTs.

Admitted prior art teaches using a plurality of finFETs in an integrated circuit

(paragraphs [0004], [0007] and [0008], for example). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of admitted prior art into the device taught by Hu et al. since it is desirable to use solid state devices in practical applications, as opposed to forming a single switch on a substrate with no purpose.

Regarding claims 20, 25 and 30, Hu et al. teach a device, wherein the first and second spacers comprise silicon dioxide (Col. 4, lines 7 - 10).

Regarding claims 21, 26 and 31 Hu et al. inherently teach an undercut region beneath a portion of the fin since the undercutting arises due the process of removing the hardmask in forming the fin. This is further evidenced in the admission in the admitted prior art in lines 8 – 11 of page 4. The undercut region would have contained a portion of the first portion, since the spacer is formed after forming the fin.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

Applicant argues that the claims are allowable because the limitation of having a spacer adjacent the base portion has been incorporated into the independent claims. Applicant further asserts that Examiner indicated that "Hu et al. do not disclose or suggest any spacers at the base of the source and drain fin", which is not accurate. Examiner stated that the reason for the indication of allowable subject matter is that the prior art does not teach "a finFET including a *third* spacer adjacent a base region" (emphasis added). The spacers (24) taught by Hu et al. are indeed adjacent the base region, as seen in Fig. 2F.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DWO

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5